

# Periodic Research

## Common Fixed Point Theorems for Finite Number of Mappings without Continuity and Compatibility on Fuzzy 2- Metric Space

### Abstract

We prove related fixed point theorem for finite number of discontinuous, noncompatible mapping on noncomplete fuzzy 2-metric space.

AMS subject classification: - H7H10, 54H21

**Keywords:** Fuzzy, Metric Space, Common Fixed Point, Cauchy Sequence.

### Introduction

Many authors have introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space in different way including [1], [2], [3] and [4]. Sessa [5], Jungck [6] was introduced the concept of weak commutativity as an improvement of commutativity and Mishra et.al [7]. Compatible maps to type  $(\beta)$  by Cho, Pathak, Kang and Jung [9]. Sehgal, V.M. [10] investigated 2-metric space. Sharma [11], [12] and Sharma and Tiwari [13] proved common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space, fuzzy 2-metric space and fuzzy, 3-metric space without taking any function continuous.

In this paper, we prove a related fixed point theorem for finite number of discontinuous, noncompatible mapping on noncomplete fuzzy 2-metric space.

### Preliminaries

#### Definition 2.1

A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is called a continuous  $t$ -norm. If  $([0, 1], *)$  is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $a_1 * b_1 * c_1 \leq a_2 * b_2 * c_2$  whenever  $a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \leq b_2, c_1 \leq c_2$  for all  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$  are in  $[0, 1]$ .

#### Definition 2.2

[13] The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy 2-metric space if  $X$  is arbitrary set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm and  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^3 * [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for all  $x, y, z, u \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$ .

1.  $M(x, y, z, 0) = 0$
2.  $M(x, y, z, t) = 1, t > 0$  and when at least two of the tree points are equal.
3.  $M(x, y, z, t) = M(x, z, y, t) = M(y, z, x, t)$  (symmetry about tree variables)
4.  $M(x, y, z, t_1 + t_2 + t_3) \geq M(x, y, u, t_1) * M(x, u, z, t_2) * M(u, y, z, t_3)$  (this corresponds to tetrahedron inequality in 2-metric space)

The function value  $M(x, y, z, t)$  may be interpreted as the probability that the area of triangle is less than  $t$ .

5.  $M(x, y, z): [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is left continuous.

### Remark

Since  $*$  is continuous it follows from (condition-4) that the limit of the sequence in FM-space is uniquely determined.

Let  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy 2-metric space with the following condition.

6.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, a, t) = 1$  for all  $x, y, a \in X$

### Definition 2.3

[13] let  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy 2-metric space.

1. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 2-metric space  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, a, t) = 1 \quad a \in X \text{ and } t > 0$
2. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 2-metric space  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, t) = 1 \quad a \in X \text{ and } t > 0, p > 0$
3. A fuzzy 2-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent said to be complete.

**Khushal Devghare**  
 Assistant Professor,  
 Deptt. of Maths,  
 Govt. J.H. P.G. College,  
 Madhya Pradesh

**Definition 2.4**

A pair of mappings  $A$  and  $S$  is called weakly compatible in fuzzy 2-metric space if they commute at coincidence points.

**Lemma 1**

[13] For all  $x, y, a \in X, M(x, y, a)$  is non-decreasing.

**Lemma 2**

[13] let  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  with the condition (FM-6). If there exists a number  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, a, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, a, t)$$

For all  $t > 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  then  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

**Lemma 3**

[13] if  $A$  for all  $x, y, a \in X, t > 0$  and for a number  $k \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$M(x, y, a, kt) \geq M(x, y, a, t) \text{ then } x = y.$$

In the following example, we know that every metric induces a fuzzy metric.

**Example 1**

[14], [15] let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Define  $a * b = ab$  or  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$  and for all  $x, y, a \in X, t > 0$ ,

$$M(x, y, a, t) = (t / (t + d(x, y, a)))$$

Then  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy 2-metric space. We call this fuzzy metric  $M$  induced by the metric  $d$  the standard fuzzy metric.

**Definition (2.5)**

[13] let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space and let  $A, B$  be self mappings of  $X$ . The mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, t) = 1,$$

For all  $a \in X$  and  $t > 0$ , whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ABx_n, BAx_n, a, t) = 1,$$

For all a  $X$  and  $t > 0$ , whenever is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

**Remark**

- In [16], [17] and [18] we can find the equivalent formulations of definitions of compatible maps, compatible maps of type  $(\alpha)$  and compatible maps of type  $(\beta)$ . Such maps are independent of each other and more general than commuting and weakly commuting maps ([19], [20]).
- Compatible or compatible of type  $(\alpha)$  or compatible of type  $(\beta)$  maps are weakly compatible but converse need not true (21).

**Main Results**

**Theorem**

Let  $(X, M, *)$  be an fuzzy 2-metric space. Let  $A, B, S, T, I, J, L, U, P$  and  $Q$  be mappings from  $X$  into itself such that

$$(1.1) \quad P(X) \subset ABIL(X), Q(X) \subset STJU(X),$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{There exists a constant } k \in (0, 1) \text{ such that}$$

$$[1 + pM(STJUx, ABILy, a, kt)] * M^{2s}(Px, Qy, a, kt) \geq p[M(Px, STJUx, a, kt) * M(Qy, ABILy, a, kt) + M(Qy, STJUx, a, kt)$$

$$* M(Px, ABILy, a, kt)] + \min\{M^{2s}(ABILy, STJUx, a, t), M^q(Px, STJUx, a, t)\} * M^q(Qy, ABILy, a, t), M^r(Qy, STJUx, a, t), M^{r'}(Px, ABILy, a, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

For all  $x, y, a \in X, p \geq 0, \alpha \in (0, 2), t > 0$  and  $0 < s, q, r, q', r' = 1$  such that  $2s = q + q' = r + r'$   
 (1.3) If one of  $P(X), ABIL(X), STJU(X), Q(X)$  is a complete subspace of  $X$  then

- $P$  and  $STJU$  have a coincidence point and
- $Q$  and  $ABIL$  have a coincidence point.

Further if

$$(1.4) \quad AB = BA, AI = IA, AL = LA, BI = IB, BL = LB, IL = LI, QL = LQ, QI = IQ, QB = BQ, ST = TS, SJ = JS, SU = US, TJ = JT, TU = UT, JU = UJ, PU = UP, PJ = JP, PT = TP$$

(1.5) The pairs  $\{P, STJU\}$  and  $\{Q, ABIL\}$  are weakly compatible, then

- $A, B, S, T, I, J, L, U, P$  and  $Q$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof**

By (1.1) since  $P(X) \subset ABIL(X)$  for any point  $x_0 \in X$  there exists a point  $x_1$  in  $X$  such that  $Px_0 = ABILx_1$ . Since  $Q(X) \subset STJU(X)$ , for this point  $x_1$  we can choose a point  $x_2$  in  $X$  such that  $Qx_1 = STJUX_2$  and so on. Inductively, we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = ABILx_{2n+1} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n+1} = STJUX_{2n+2}$$

We denote  $d_n(t) = M(y_n, y_{n+1}, a, t)$  then by (1.2), for all  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha = 1 - q$  with  $q \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$[1 + pM(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a, kt)] * M^{2s}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, a, kt) \geq p[M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, a, kt) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, a, kt) + M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, a, kt) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, a, t) + M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n}, a, kt)] + \min\{M^{2s}(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^r(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, a, (1 - q)t), M^r(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, a, (1 - q)t)\}$$

Now using (FM-4), on the lines of Sharma [223], we write

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, a, kt) \geq \min\{M^{2s}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, a, t), M^r(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, t/3q^n) * M^r(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}, a, t/3q^n) * M^r(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, a, t/3q^n)\}$$

For every positive integer  $n$  in  $N$ , by noting that  $M(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t/3q^n) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

Since the  $t$ -norm  $*$  is continuous and  $M(x, y, \cdot)$  is continuous, we have  $M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, kt) \geq \min\{M^{2s}(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, a, t), M^q(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, a, t)\}$ , So we have

$$d_{2n+1}^{2s}(kt) \geq \min\{d_{2n}^{2s}(t), d_{2n+1}^q(t), d_{2n}^q(t)\}$$

If  $d_{2n+1} < d_{2n}$  then

$$d_{2n+1}^{2s}(kt) \geq d_{2n+1}^{2s}(t) \geq d_{2n+1}^{2s}(kt),$$

a contradiction, then  $d_{2n+1}(t) \geq d_{2n}(t)$ .

Similarly we can prove that  $d_{2n+1}(t) \geq d_{2n+1}(t)$

Consequently  $\{d_n\}$  is increasing sequence of non-negative real. Thus using

(1.2), we have

$$d_{2n+1}^{2s}(kt) \geq d_{2n}^{2s}(t) \text{ that is } d_{2n+1}(kt) \geq d_{2n}(t).$$

Similarly, we have  $d_{2n+2}^{2s}(kt) \geq d_{2n+1}^{2s}(t)$ .

Thus for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, M(y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, a, kt) \geq M(y_m, y_{m+1}, a, t)$ .

Hence by lemma 2,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . now suppose  $STJU(X)$  is complete. Note that the subsequence  $\{y_{2n+1}\}$  is contained in  $STJU(X)$  and has a limit in  $STJU(X)$  call it  $z$ . let  $z \in STJU^{-1}(z)$ . Then  $STJUw = z$ . we shall use the fact that subsequence  $\{y_{2n}\}$  also converges to  $z$ . by (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  we have

By putting  $x = w, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (1.2) with  $\alpha = 1$  and taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [1 + pM(STJUw, ABILx_{2n+1}, a, kt)] * \\
 & M^{2s}(Pw, Qx_{2n+1}, a, kt) \\
 & \geq p[M(Pw, STJUw, a, kt) \\
 & * M(Qx_{2n+1}, ABILx_{2n+1}, a, kt) \\
 & + M(Qx_{2n+1}, STJUw, a, kt) \\
 & * M(Pw, ABILx_{2n}, a, kt)] \\
 & + \min\{M^{2s}(ABILx_{2n+1}, STJUw, a, t), M^q(Pw, STJUw, a, t) \\
 & . M^q(Qx_{2n+1}, ABILx_{2n+1}, a, t), M^r(Qx_{2n+1}, SIJUw, a, t), \\
 & M^r(Pw, ABILx_{2n+1}, a, t)\}, \\
 & [1 + pM(z, z, a, kt)] * M^{2s}(Pw, z, a, kt) \\
 & \geq p[M(Pw, z, a, kt) * M(z, z, a, kt) \\
 & + M(z, z, a, kt) * M(Pw, z, a, kt)] \\
 & + \min\{M^{2s}(z, z, a, t), M^q(Pw, z, a, t) . \\
 & M^q(z, z, a, t), M^r(z, z, a, t), M^r(Pw, z, a, t)\}, \\
 & M^{2s}(Pw, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Pw, z, a, kt)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $pw = z$ . Since  $STJUw = z$ . Thus we have  $pw = z = STJU$  that is  $w$  is coincidence point of  $P$  and  $STJU$ . This proves (i).

Since  $P(X) \subset ABIL(X), pw = z$  implies that  $z \in ABIL$ . Let  $v \in ABIL^{-1}z$ . Then  $ABILv = z$ .

By putting  $x = x_{2n+2}, y = v$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$M^{2s}(Qv, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Qv, z, a, kt)$$

Therefore the lemma 3 we have  $Qv = z$ . Since  $ABILv = z$ , we have  $Qv = z = ABILv$  that is  $v$  is coincidence point of  $Q$  and  $ABIL$ . This proves (ii).

The remaining two cases pertain essentially to the previous cases. Indeed if  $P(X)$  or  $Q(X)$  is complete then by (1.1),  $z \in P(X) \subset ABIL(X)$  or  $z \in Q(X) \subset STJU(X)$ .

Thus (i) and (ii) are completely established.

Since the pair  $\{P, STJU\}$  is weakly compatible therefore  $P$  and  $STJU$  commute at their coincidence point that is  $P(STJUw) = (STJU)Pw$  or  $Pz = STJUz$ .

Since the pair  $\{Q, ABIL\}$  is weakly compatible therefore  $Q$  and  $ABIL$  commute at their coincidence point that is  $Q(ABILv) = (ABIL)Qv$  or  $Qz = ABILz$ .

By putting  $x = z, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (1.2) with  $\alpha = 1$  and taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $M^{2s}(Pz, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Pz, z, a, t)$ .

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Pz = z$ . So  $Pz = STJUz = z$ . By putting  $x = x_{2n+2}, y = z$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$M^{2s}(z, Qz, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Qz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Qz = z$ . So  $Qz = ABILz = z$ . By putting  $x = z, y = Lz$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and using (1.4), we have

$$M^{2s}(z, Lz, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Lz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Lz = z$ . So  $ABILz = z$ . By putting  $x = z, y = Iz$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and using (1.4), we have

$$M^{2s}(Iz, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Iz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Iz = z$ . So  $ABILz = z$  therefore  $ABz = z$ . Now to prove  $Bz = z$  we put  $x = z, y = Bz$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and using (1.4), we have

$$M^{2s}(z, Bz, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Bz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have

$Bz = z$ . Since  $ABz = z$  therefore  $Az = z$ . To prove  $Uz = z$  we put  $x = Uz, y = z$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and using (1.4), we have

$$M^{2s}(Uz, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Uz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Uz = z$ . Since  $SIJUz = z$  therefore  $SIJz = z$ . To prove  $Jz = z$  we put  $x = Jz, y = z$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and using (1.4), we have

$$M^{2s}(Jz, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Jz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Jz = z$ . Since  $STJz = z$  therefore  $STz = z$ . To prove  $Tz = z$  we put  $x = Tz, y = z$  in (1.2), with  $\alpha = 1$  and using (1.4), we have

$$M^{2s}(Tz, z, a, kt) \geq M^{2s}(Tz, z, a, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 3, we have  $Tz = z$ . Since  $STz = z$  therefore  $Sz = z$ . By combining the above results we have

$Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = Iz = Jz = Lz = Uz = Pz = Qz = z$ . that is  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, I, J, L, U, P$  and  $Q$ . The uniqueness of the common fixed point of  $A, B, S, T, I, J, U, P$  and  $Q$  follows easily from (1.2). This completes the proof.

From theorem 1, with  $p = 0$ , we have the following result:

### Corollary

Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy 2-metric space. Let  $A, B, S, T, I, J, L, U, P$  and  $Q$  be mappings from  $X$  into itself satisfy condition (1.2) with  $p = 0$ . If conditions (1.1) and (1.3) are satisfied then conclusions (i) and (ii) of theorem 1 hold. Further if conditions (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied then conclusion (iii) of theorem 1 hold.

### References

1. Z.K. Deny, Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces. J.Math. Anal.Appl., 86(1982), 74-75.
2. M.A. Erceg metric space in fuzzy set theory. J.math. Anal. Appl. 69(1979), 205-230.
3. O. Kaleva, S. Seikkala, on fuzzy metric space, fuzzy sets and system 12(1984), 215-229.
4. O. Kramosil, J. Michalek, fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, kybernetika, 11(1975), 336-344.
5. Sessa, S: - On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point considerations, publ. Inst, math. 32(46) (1986), 149-153.
6. Jungck. G: - Compatible mapping and common fixed point.
7. Mishra S. N. Sharma, N. and Singh S. L: common fixed points of maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Internat. J. math. sci. 17 (1994), 253,258.
8. Cho. Y. J:- Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, J. fuzzy math. 5(4) (1997), 949-962.
9. Cho. Y.J., Pathak, H. K., Kang, S.M. and Jung. J. S: - Common fixed points of compatible maps of type ( ) on fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems, 93(1998), 99-111.
10. Sehgal V. M: A fixed point theorem for mapping with a contractiveiterate, Proc, Amer.math.Soc. (3,23(1969), 631-634.
11. Sharma, Sushil: Common fixed point theorem in 2- metric spaces, Pakistan J. Science and industrial Research, 42(5) (1999), 242-244.
12. Sharma, Sushil: Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space, fuzzy sets and systems, vol. 127 (2002), 345,352.

13. Sharma, Sushil and Tiwari, J.K.:- Some common fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric spaces., PCSIR, 48(4)(2005), 223-230.
14. George, A. and Verramani, P.: On some result in fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy sets and systems, 64(1994), 395-399.
15. Sharma, Sushil:- On fuzzy metric spaces, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. Spring.ver lag Vol.6 No.1(2002), 145-157.
16. Jungck, G: Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83(1976), 261-263.
17. Jungck, G: Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J.Math. Sci. 9(1986), 771-779.
18. Jungck, G: Compatible mapping and common fixed points (2), Internat. J. Math. And Math. Sci., 11(1998), 285-258.
19. Jungck, G:- Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta, proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103(1988), 977-983.
20. Sessa, S.:- On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point considerations publ. Inst, math. 32(46) (1986), 149-153.
21. Sharma, Sushil and Deshpande, B.:- Common fixed point theorems for finite number of mapping without continuity and compatibility on fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy systems and math. Vol. 24 No.2 (2010), 73-83.